

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/073,448	NORMAN, FRANK L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) Bradley Ruben.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Ruben that the added subject matter regarding the fuel additive was not supported by the original filed specification. The examiner informed Mr. Ruben that the claims would be allowable if the subject matter were deleted. Mr. Ruben agreed to cancel the subject matter. .